

THE UNIVERSITY OF SUFISM AND MODERN SCIENCES BHITSHAH, SINDH, PAKISTAN

EXCELLENCE | INTEGRITY | LEADERSHIP

Deputy Registrar Aamir Kibria

No. USMS/DR/ADP-CSC/2-2021/705 Date: 27/01/2021

Meeting Notice

To,

1. Mr. Muhammad Nasir Khan

Deputy Director, Directorate General of Bureau of Statistics, Govt: of Sindh. Karachi. Karachi

2. Mr. Fahad Mansoori

District Accounts Officer District Matiari

3. Mr. Faheem Ahmed Soomro

Project Director, Shaheed Banazir Bhutto University Nawabshah

SUBJECT:- 2ND MEETING OF CONSULTANT SELECTION COMMITTEE SCHEDULED ON 02.02.2021 2@ 11.30 A.M FOR OPENING OF TECHNICAL PROPOSALS.

It is stated that the 2nd meeting of' Consultant Selection Committee" has been scheduled on **02.02.2021 (Tuesday) at 11:30 am** at the University of Sufism and Modern Sciences, Bhitshah for opening Technical for the scheme "Establishment Sufism and Modern Sciences University, Bhitshah" (ADP No. 509 of 2020-21)

You are therefore, requested to please make it convenient to attend the said meeting on above date and time and venue.

Evaluation Sheets and Criteria is attached herewith for your information

(AAM)R KIBRIA

Deputy Registrar/Secy: CSC

Copy for information to:

- 1. P.S. to Secretary, Universities & Board Department, Govt. of Sindh-Karachi.
- 2. P.S. to Vice Chancellor, University of Sufism and Modern Sciences, Bhitshah.

Email: dep.registrar@usms.edu.pk Tel: 022-2762415 Fax: 022-2762236 Address: University of Sufism And Modern Sciences, Bhitshah, District Matiari, Sindh, Pakistan



UNIVERSITY OF SUFISM AND MODERN SCIENCES, BHITSHAH

MINUTES OF THE 2ND MEETING OF CONSULTANT SELECTION COMMITTEE FOR THE SCHEME "ESTABLISHMENT SUFISM AND MODERN SCIENCE UNIVERSITY BHIT SHAH" (ADP NO 509 OF 2020-21) HELD ON 02.02.2021 AT SEMINAR HALL UNIVERSITY OF SUFISM & MODERN SCIENCES, BHIT SHAH.

(List of Participants attached)

The 2nd meeting of Consultant Selection Committee (CSC) for hiring / selection of Consultant for the scheme "Establishment Sufism and Modern Science University Bhitshah" was held on 02-02-2021 at 11.30 A.M. chaired by The Vice-Chancellor, University of Sufism and Modern Sciences, Bhitshah-Sindh being the chairperson of the CSC.

- 2. The meeting was started with recitation verses from the Holy Quran, the Chair welcomed the member of CSC. The Committee had approved/endorsed the minutes of the 1st CSC meeting. The Secretary CSC/Dy: Registrar, USMS, Bhitshah informed that following'10'consulting firms were declared qualified by the CSC in its meeting held on 11.01.2020 and RFP documents were issued on 15.01.2021.
 - i. M/S New Vision Engineering Consultant NEVC
 - ii. M/S DR. Alvi & Associated
 - iii. M/S Master Consultant Engineering (Pvt) Ltd.
 - iv. M/S Six Sigma Consult Planners, Engineers and Managers
 - v. M/S RCC Consultant Hyderabad
- vi. M/S Naqvi and Siddiqui
- vii. M/s ESS.I.AAR
- viii. M/S Young Associates
- ix. M/S IDG (Pvt) Limited
- x. M/S Allied Engineering Consultants (Pvt) LTD
- 3. Till closure date and time i.e. today the ^{2nd} February, 2021 at 11 A.M, under mentioned 08 firms have submitted their technical and financial proposals:
 - i. M/S Naqvi and Siddiqui
 - ii. M/s ESS.I.AAR
 - iii. M/S RCC Consultant Hyderabad
 - iv. M/S Master Consultant Engineering (Pvt) Ltd.
 - v. M/S DR. Alvi & Associated
 - vi. M/S Young Associates
- vii. M/S IDG (Pvt) Limited
- viii. M/S Allied Engineering Consultants (Pvt) LTD

Mym

Jan -2/2/21

Xi

- 4. The CSC had then opened the technical proposals and evaluated the same as per the criteria already mentioned in the bidding documents wherein following firms were found technically qualified for opening of their financial proposals in next meeting: (Detailed Technical Evaluation Report duly signed by the CSC is attached at Annexure-I)
 - M/S Naqvi and Siddiqui
 - M/s ESS.I.AAR ii.
- M/S Master Consultant Engineering (Pvt) Ltd. iii.
- M/S DR. Alvi & Associated iv.
- M/S Young Associates v.
- M/S IDG (Pvt) Limited vi.
- 5. After detailed discussions and deliberations, following decision were unanimously were taken:

6. DECISION.

- Financial Proposals of Technically qualified firms shall be opened in next CSC meeting which will be convened on 04.02.2021 at 11:30 a.m in presence of the representatives of said firms/consultants.
 - 7. The meeting thereby ends with a vote of thanks to and from the Chair.

ignatures of members of the Consultant Selection Committee:

ANSOORI)

counts Officer, Matiari/Member CSC District A

2. (**MUHA**

Deputy Director, Bureau of Statistics,

Karachi/Member CSC

(ENGR. FAHEEM AHMED SOOMRO) 3.

Project Director/Member CSC

Shaheed Benazir Bhutto University,

Nawabshah

4. (AAMIR KIBRIYA

Deputy Registrar

University of Sufism and Modern Sciences,

Bhitshah/ Secy: CSC

5.(PROF. DR. PARVEEN MUNSHI

Vice-Chancellor

University of Sufism and Modern Sciences, Bhitshah/

Chairperson, CSC



UNIVERSITY OF SUFISM AND MODERN SCIENCES, BHITSHAH

ATTENDANCE SHEET

2ND MEETING OF CONSULTANT SELECTION COMMITTEE FOR THE SCHEME "ESTABLISHMENT SUFISM AND MODERN SCIENCE UNIVERSITY BHIT SHAH" (ADP NO 509 OF 2020-21) HELD ON 02.02.2021 AT SEMINAR HALL UNIVERSITY OF SUFISM & MODERN SCIENCES, BHIT SHAH (FOR COMMITTEE MEMBERS)

Sr.	Nome 0 D			
No.	Name & Designation	Contact No.	Email Address	Signature
1.	Dr. Parveen. Munshi	0300-		Vou _
3	Fahrem Almed Soomro Project-Director SBBU, SBA	0300/	pd@slobusba.edu. pk	De Politori;
3.	Fahad Mansevi DAO Mahari	0333- 2256309	fatad age 80 D gm	4 Danton
4.	Aamir Kibria	0336-92 11989	dep registrar Cusms.clu. +K.	100
5.	MUHAMMAD NASIR KHAN	0333 - 3809484	nasireo nus edu	.)2.





UNIVERSITY OF SUFISM AND MODERN SCIENCES, BHITSHAH

ATTENDANCE SHEET

2ND MEETING OF CONSULTANT SELECTION COMMITTEE FOR THE SCHEME "ESTABLISHMENT SUFISM AND MODERN SCIENCE UNIVERSITY BHIT SHAH" (ADP NO 509 OF 2020-21) HELD ON 02.02.20201AT SEMINAR HALL UNIVERSITY OF SUFISM & MODERN SCIENCES, BHIT SHAH (FOR BIDDERS)

Sr. No.	Title of Firm / Company	Name & Designation / Representative	Contact No.	Email Address	Signature
	Disarvi f Deservos	DR-BP. AWI	036) 281 m3	abdulguddas aln'c gnief,	The state of the s
	Rec Consultants	Engr. Abdyl Rehman Engr. Zain ul Ilah	033 <u>1</u> 3716241	reh ron men on I	
	10/ (Pra) 2 %	Lehil AL. Admin Magg	2409115	idg12@	D-
	ESS-1-AAR	HASEEM-UZ-HAR. ADMIN-OFFICEX.	0346 3241370	C10 1946@ hat mail. Pan	

Email: dep.registrar@usms.edu.pk

Phone# 022-2762415

NO. USMS/DR/675 Dated 15.01.2021

To,

- i. M/S New Vision Engineering Consultant NEVC
- ii. M/S DR. Alvi & Associated
- iii. M/S Master Consultant Engineering (Pvt) Ltd.
- iv. M/S Six Sigma Consult Planners, Engineers and Managers
- v. M/S RCC Consultant Hyderabad
- vi. M/S Naqvi and Siddiqui
- vii. M/s ESS.I.AAR
- viii. M/S Young Associates
- ix. M/S IDG (Pvt) Limited
- x. M/S Allied Engineering Consultants (Pvt) LTD

It is hereby informed that your firm has been pre-qualified by the Consultant Selection Committee (constituted for the selection of the Consultants/ firms under SPPRA Rules 2010 as amended 2013) for issuance of the Request For Proposals (RFPs) to Plan, Design and Detailed Supervision of the scheme "Establishment of Sufism and Modern Sciences University, Bhit Shah" (ADP No. 509 of 2020-21). More details on the services are provided in the Terms of References (ToRs).

It may be adhered that transfer of this invitation is not permissible to any other firm.

A firm will be selected under "Cost and Quality Based method" (QCBS) and as per the procedures described in the RFP, In accordance with the SPPRA rules, 2010 (as amended 2013).

The RFP includes the following documents:

- Section 1 Letter of Invitation
- Section 2 Instructions to Consultants (Including TORs and Data Sheet)
- Section 3 Technical Proposal (Standard Forms)
- Section 4 Terms of Reference
- Section 5 Standard Forms of Contract

Please inform the undersigned in writing at the following address: Registrar office, University of Sufism and Modern Sciences, Bhitshah, District Matiari-Sindh upon receipt:

- a) That you received this letter; and
- b) Weather you will submit proposal alone or in association.

The deadline of submission of bids for the aforementioned RFP is 02-02-2021 at 11:00 am any proposal received after the deadline shall not be entertained. The bid shall be opened on the same date" Technical proposals shall be opened by the Committee immediately on the 02-02-2021 at 11:30am at "Seminar Hall, University of Sufism and Modern Sciences, Bhitshah in presence of the representatives of said firms/consultants and subsequently opening of Financial Proposals of technically qualified firm/consultants on the 04-02-2021 at 11:30 am

Sindh Public Procurement Regulatory Authority (SPPRA)

You are requested to ensure your presence for the bid evaluation on the above mentioned date and time.

Note: The Competent Authority of the University of Sufism and Modern Sciences reserves the rights to reject any proposal at any stage of the bidding process.

Encl: As Above.

(Amir Kibria)
Deput Registrar

University of Sufism and Modern Sciences, Bhitshah, District Matiari-Sindh/Secretary CSC

Copy for Information to:

1. Assistant Director (Procurement), SPPRA, Karachi

1. P.S to Vice Chancellor University of Sufism and Modern Sciences

NAME OF SCHEME: "ESTABLISHMENT SUFISM AND MODERN SCIENCE UNIVERSITY BHIT SHAH"

(ADP NO 509 OF 2020-21)

THE EVALUATION OF FULL TECHNICAL PROPOSAL SHALL BE BASED ON FOLLOWING CRITERIA

	4004	3 2	S:No
The minimum qualifying technical score:	Understanding of the Assignment (Execution Plan I,e after tendre Specialization(Specialized Skills and Access to Particular Technology Quality Management Total Points	Experience & Past Performance of the Firm Financial Capability Proposed Methodology (Delivery time of required documents including Drawings, Bill of Quantities and Tender Documents, etc)	Description/Item Point
70	30 25 20 10	7.5 7.5	nts

The date, time and address of the financial proposal opening are.....

The weights given to the Technical and Financial proposals are:

Technical Proposal: 80%

Description/Item Academic and General Qualification Professional experience related to the Project: 10%		100%	Total Points	Status with the firm:	Ë
Point: Qualification		60%	Project:	Professional experience related to the I	Ë.
		30%		Academic and General Qualification	a de la companya de l
	12	Points		Description/Item	S:No

SUMMARY EVALUATION SHEET FOR FULL TECHNICAL PROPOSAL (QCBS) FOR SELECTION OF CONSULTANTS FOR THE SCHEME /PROJECT :"ESTABLISHMENT OF SUFISM AND MODERN SCIENCE UNIVERSITY BHIT SHAH" (ADP NO 509 OF 2020-21)

	_	M/s New Vision	New Vision	M/s DR Alvi &	Alvi &	M/s	M/s Master	M/s Six Sigma	igma	M/s RCC	()
		TAND TAN	7 7 131011)	Familian	Consult	‡ _	Consultants	nts Remarks
Evaluation Criteria M	Max Weight	Engin	Engineering Consultant	Associates	lates	(Pvi	(Pvt) Ltd.	Planners, Engineers	ngineers	Hyderabad	
	_	Ratino	Score	Rating	Score	Rating	Score	Rating	Score	Rating S	Score
		Namig	Score	10 17.1	٨	2. 6 1.22 22	2.0			54.9 1.38	25
Experience & Past Performance	7.5			66.64%	V	3333	1				
LA PETERSON AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN	7.5	1	J	73.331.	N.N	86%	0	17		5.9 149.98	S
Financial Capability	3		St	7/17	22	7/17 23	23	1		761. 21	15
III. Proposed Methodology	30	-	d	7007	1	00		20			1
	25		8	7. 48	7	8oy. 20	20	LID S		-	+
Specialization (Specialized skills and Access to particular	20		כח	788.08	15-17	78.79	16-175 78-78-15-31	no U		66%	12
l echnology)	5		שפי	Xo.	Ø	% % %	∞	L X		1.1	17
Resident Engineer	10		T	0,			- 1	. 91		11.4	c
	2.5		u.	⊗ .	2	%%	1	5 +		V0/.	-
Assistant Kesideni Dilginoci	2.5		5 to	100%	25	90%	90% 2.25	ı gn		82% 2.05	20.
Structure Design Engineer	1.0		gh.	1.48	2.175	80%	4	Lm		54.1 1.45	Sh.
Material Engineer	2.5		W		3	5	-	291		1	1
	2.5		'H'	66%		00%	,	.0	U	+	N
Quantity Surveyor	10		D	60.00%	0	Sol.	4	\		00%	
Quality Management	10			7/ /1	F1 12	77.7	25.55 25.55 25.25			6895/6875	56.8
Total	100			76.017	10.01	1					

Rating: Excellent - 100% Very Good - 90% Score: Maximum Weight X Rating / 100 Minimum qualifying marks is 70% or 70 Marks

Above Average - 80%

Average - 70%

Below Average - 50%

Non- Compliant - 00%

Andron Contraction of the Contra

Personnel Evaluation Sheet

Name Of The Firm:-M/s New Vision Engineering Consultant

								2
Position /Area of Expertise	Max Weight	General Q 3	General Qualification 30%	Similar Project/Relev Experience 60%	milar Project/Relevant Experience 60%	Status Firn	Status With the Firm10%	(Sum of Weighted Ratings)
(Show All Experts to be Evaluated)	(%Rating	Weighted Rating (A)	%Rating	Weighted %Rating (B) %Rating (B) Rating (C)	%Rating	Weighted Rating (C)	A+B+C
Consultants	20	0	,		0			
	10	X	8		E L		p.	
Resident Engineer			000					
Assistant Resident Engineer	2.5		2	3	100			
Structure Design Engineer	2.5	200	2 3	Tal.				
Material Engineer	2.5	27.		7	CX CO			
	2.5				2	il	0	
Total	20				100			
1000	-							

Score: Maximum Weight X Rating / 100 Rating: Excellent - 100% Very Good - 90%

Above Average - 80%

Average - 70%

Below Average - 50%

Diploma - 60%

Non- Compliant - 00%

Full Time -100% Part time with previous association -50% PhD-100% M.Sc -90% B.Sc(With additional courses relevant to the assignment) -80%

Part Time only for this assignment - 0% B.Sc -70%

Personnel Evaluation Sheet

Name Of The Firm:-M/s DR.Alvi & Associates

Position /Area of Expertise	Max Weight	General Q 31	General Qualification 30%	Similar Project/Relevant Experience 60%	ect/Relevant nce 60%	Status Firi	Status With the Firm10%	Overall Rating (Sum of Weighted Ratings)	Rating Veighted Igs)
(Show All Experts to be Evaluated)		%Rating	Weighted Rating (A)	%Rating	Weighted Rating (B)	%Rating	Weighted Rating (C)	A+B+C	ð
Consultants	20		2.4						
Resident Engineer	10	10 24%	2.4	48%	4.2	%	8.0	80%	Ø
Assistant Resident Engineer	2.5	2.5 24%	0.6	187	1.2	%	0.2	80%	2
Structure Design Engineer	2.5	2.5 36%	56.0	60%	5.1	10%	0.25	160%	2.5
Material Engineer	2.5	27%	549.0	7.45	1.35	6%	8.15	87/	2.175
Quantity Surveyor	2.5	2.5 18%	54.0	36%	6.9	7.9	51.0	66%	4
Total	20	20 24.38% 4.875	548.4	1/2F-8h	7.75.7		1.55	88.88	16.175

Score: Maximum Weight X Rating / 100 Rating: Excellent - 100% Very Good - 90%

Full Time -100%

Part time with previous association -50%

Above Average - 80%

Average - 70%

Below Average - 50%

Non- Compliant - 00%

PhD-100% M.Sc -90% B.Sc(With additional courses relevant to the assignment) -80% Part Time only for this assignment - 0% B.Sc -70%

Diploma - 60%



Personnel Evaluation Sheet

Name Of The Firm:-M/s Master Consulting Engineers (Pvt) Ltd.

Position /Area of Expertise	Max Weight	General Q 3	General Qualification 30%	Similar Project/Relevant Experience 60%	ct/Relevant ce 60%	Status With the Firm10%	atus With the Firm10%	Overall Rating (Sum of Weighted Ratings)
(Show All Experts to be Evaluated)	3	%Rating	Weighted Rating (A)	%Rating	Weighted Rating (B)	%Rating	%Rating Weighted Rating (C)	A+B+C
Consultants	20		2.6		E. H.			200
Docident Engineer	10	24%	7.4	48%	8.4	8%	8.0	8 .708
A A Docklast Engineer	2.5	2.5 24%	0.6	1.84	1.2	8%	0.2	80% 2
Assistant resident bugineer	2.5	25 20%	2.0	60%	1.5	16%	0.25	90% 2.25
Structure Design Engineer	2.5	2.5 20%	5.0	34%	1.35	6%	0.15	80% 2
Material Engineer	2.5	25 18%	54.0	36%	6.9	6%	21.0	Box. 1.5
Quantity surveyor Total	20	20 22.25%	54.4	48.75%	54.6	1.54.4	1.55	48.75% 9.75 7.75% 1.55 78.75% 15.75
	1							

Score: Maximum Weight X Rating / 100 Rating: Excellent - 100%

Full Time -100%

Part time with previous association -50%

Very Good - 90%

PhD-100% M.Sc -90% B.Sc(With additional courses relevant to the assignment) -80% Above Average - 80%

Part Time only for this assignment - 0%

Average - 70%

B.Sc -70%

Below Average - 50%

Non- Compliant - 00%

Diploma - 60%

Personnel Evaluation Sheet

Name Of The Firm:-M/s Six Sigma Consult Planners, Engineers

Position /Area of Expertise	Max Weight	General Q 30	General Qualification 30%	Similar Project/Relevant Experience 60%	ect/Relevant ice 60%	Status Firm	Status With the Firm10%	Overall Rating (Sum of Weighted Ratings)
(Show All Experts to be Evaluated)		%Rating	Weighted Rating (A)	%Rating	Weighted Rating (B)	%Rating	%Rating Weighted Rating (C)	A+B+C
Consultants	20	0						
Resident Engineer	10	d'x "	**	65.5				
Assistant Resident Engineer	2.5		OCUM					
Structure Design Engineer	2.5	343	0	t X				
Material Engineer	2.5	2	0		y se			
Quantity Surveyor	2.5	1				Xn	0	
Total	20							

Majora Parala

Below Average - 50%

Non- Compliant - 00%

Diploma - 60%

B.Sc -70%

Part Time only for this assignment - 0%

PhD-100% M.Sc -90% B.Sc(With additional courses relevant to the assignment) -80%

Full Time -100% Part time with previous association -50%

Score: Maximum Weight X Rating / 100

Rating: Excellent - 100%

Very Good - 90%

Above Average - 80%

Average - 70%

Name Of The Firm:-M/s RCC Consultants Hyderabad

Personnel Evaluation Sheet

		2							
Position /Area of Expertise	Max Weight	General (General Qualification 30%	Similar Pro Experie	Similar Project/Relevant Experience 60%		Status With the Firm10%	Overall Rating (Sum of Weighted Ratings)	Rating 'eighted gs)
(Show All Experts to be Evaluated)	90	0/.D.+:	Weighted		Weighted		Weighted		
Consultants		%Kating	Rating (A)	%Rating	Rating (B)	%Rating	%Rating Rating (C)	A+B+C	ð
	3						9(0)		
Recident Engine	20								
THE HEAD OF THE PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY ADDRESS OF TH	10	10 2) 7.	'n	42%	4.2	××	3	ZIV	1
Assistant Resident Engineer)	3	2.000		`		0	71%	7.1
	22	1.1 12	C-24.0	56%	0.75	\.\.	0.125	かく	-
Suddure Design Engineer	2.5	2.5 24%	0.6	48%	1.2	7.	7.5.4		72.6
Material Engineer)	7	200	3	1	+	,		
Omatic	2:3	11.	0 0 0 0 0	00/.	0.77	1%	0.173	7.85	54.1
Quantity Surveyor	2.5	2.5	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Total	20	20 18.75% 3.75	3.75	34.57	6.9	12:1 12:			5
				_		0 10	L	00/.	1
Rating: Excellent - 100% Vary Good 000									

Score: Maximum Weight X Rating / 100 Nating: Excellent - 100% Very Good - 90%

PhD-100% M.Sc -90% B.Sc(With additional courses relevant to the assignment) -80%

Part time with previous association -50%

Full Time -100%

Above Average - 80%

Average - 70%

B.Sc -70% Below Average - 50%

Non- Compliant - 00%

Diploma - 60%

Part Time only for this assignment - 0%

Jalaya Hyeley

Personnel Evaluation Sheet

Name Of The Firm:-M/s Naqvi and Siddiqui

Position /Area of Expertise	Max Weight	General Q	General Qualification 30%	Similar Project/Relevant Experience 60%	ct/Relevant ce 60%	Status With the Firm10%	itus With the Firm10%	Overall Rating (Sum of Weighted Ratings)
(Show All Experts to be Evaluated)		%Rating	Weighted Rating (A)	%Rating	Weighted Rating (B) %Rating Rating (C)	%Rating	Weighted Rating (C)	A+B+C
Consultants	20		9.		9		9	
Resident Engineer	10	10 24%	2.4	×45	۶.4	8%	8.0	86% 8.6
Assistant Resident Engineer	2.5	2.5 24%	6.6	s4%	1.35	1.8.9	FI-0 183	84.881. 2.12
Structure Design Engineer	2.5	2.5 24%	6.6	42%	50.1	7.8.9	0.17	6.8% 0.17 72.8% 1.82
Material Engineer	2.5	2.5 24%	9.0	18%	1.2	4.8%	4.8% 0.12	76.8% 1.92
Quantity Surveyor	2.5	18%	5h.0	30%	6.75	4.8%	4.8% 0-12	52.8% 1.32
Total		20 23.251 4.65	59.4	156.8h	5F.P	696%	85.1	85-51 786-84 85-1 786-9 5E-6 75E-84

Score: Maximum Weight X Rating / 100 Rating: Excellent - 100%

Full Time -100%

Part time with previous association -50%

Very Good - 90%

Above Average - 80%

Average - 70%

Below Average - 50%

Non- Compliant - 00%

Diploma - 60%

PhD-100% M.Sc -90% B.Sc(With additional courses relevant to the assignment) -80% Part Time only for this assignment - 0% B.Sc -70%

6

Personnel Evaluation Sheet

Name Of The Firm:-M/s ESS.I.AAR

Position /Area of Expertise	Max Weight	General Qual 30%	ification	Similar Project/Relev Experience 60%	milar Project/Relevant Experience 60%	Status Tirn	Status With the Firm10%	Overall Rating (Sum of Weighted Ratings)	ating ghted s)
(Show All Experts to be Evaluated)		%Rating	Weighted Rating (A)	%Rating	Weighted Rating (B)	%Rating	%Rating Rating (C)	A+B+C	С
Consultants	20		ļ						
Resident Engineer	10	21%	2.1	54%	5.4	74.	0.7	82%	8.2
Assistant Resident Engineer	2.5	2.5 20.8% 6.52	0.52	48%	1.2	6.8%	0.17 75.6% 1.89	75.6%	1.89
Structure Design Engineer	2.5	2.5 26.8%	6.67	48%	1.2	8%	0.2	82.8% 2.07	2.07
Material Engineer	2.5	18%	54.0	42%	1.05	8%	0.2		1.7
Quantity Surveyor	2.5	187	54.0	48%	1.2	8%	2.0	7.44	1.85
Total		20 20.95% 4.19	4.19	50.25%	50.251 10.05 7.351 1.47 7851 15.71	7.35%	1.47	785%	15.71

Rating: Excellent - 100% Very Good - 90% Score: Maximum Weight X Rating / 100

PhD-100% M.Sc -90% B.Sc(With additional courses relevant to the assignment) -80%

Part time with previous association -50%

Part Time only for this assignment - 0%

B.Sc -70%

Full Time -100%

Above Average - 80%

Average - 70%

Below Average - 50%

Non- Compliant - 00%

Diploma - 60%

9

2

Ny Solo

7

Name Of The Firm:-M/s Young Associates

Personnel Evaluation Sheet

Position /Area of Expertise	Max Weight	General Q 30	General Qualification 30%	Similar Project/Relevant Experience 60%	ct/Relevant ce 60%	Status With the Firm10%		Overall Rating (Sum of Weighted Ratings)	ating eighted (S)
(Show All Experts to be Evaluated)		%Rating	Weighted Rating (A)	%Rating	Weighted Rating (B)	%Rating	%Rating Rating (C)	A+B+C	Ċ
Consultants	20								
Resident Engineer	10	10 24%	2.4	x45	5.4	5%	5.0	83%	8:3
Assistant Resident Engineer	2.5	2.5 18%	845	42%	1.05	4.8% 0.12		64.8% 1.62	1.62
Structure Design Engineer	2.5	2.5 24 %	0.6	54%	1.35	8.8%	8.8% 0.52	86.8% 2.17	2.17
Material Engineer	2.5	24%	6.6	SYX	1.35	8%	0.5	867. 2.15	515
Quantity Surveyor	2.5	18%	54.0	18%.	1.2	7.8.9	41.0	72.8% 1.82	1.82
Total	20	20 22.5%. 4.5	5.4	51.75% 10.35 6.05% 1.21 80.3% 16.06	10.35	6.05%	1.21	80.37.	16.06

Score: Maximum Weight X Rating / 100
PhD-100% M.Sc -90% B.Sc(With additional courses relevant to the assignment) -80% Rating: Excellent - 100%

Very Good - 90%

Full Time -100% Part time with previous association -50%

Above Average - 80%

Average - 70%

B.Sc -70%

Diploma - 60%

Part Time only for this assignment - 0%

Below Average - 50%

Non- Compliant - 00%

Personnel Evaluation Sheet

Name Of The Firm:-M/s IDG (Pvt) Limited

Status With the Firm10% (S	e Overall Rating (Sum of Weighted Ratings)
%Rating Rating (C)	ted A+B+C
0.8	8 80% 8
6.8% 0.17	7 80% 2
2.0	2 100% 2.5
51.0	5 70% 1.75
10% 0.25	25 60% 1.5
42.1	14.)1 1/2tot 25.1 1356 311 8 135 6.1
- 0	

Score: Maximum Weight X Rating / 100 Rating: Excellent - 100%

Full Time -100% Part time with previous association -50%

PhD-100% M.Sc -90% B.Sc(With additional courses relevant to the assignment) -80%

Very Good - 90%

Above Average - 80%

Average - 70%

B.Sc -70%

Part Time only for this assignment - 0%

Diploma - 60% Below Average - 50%

Non- Compliant - 00%

Mary Melse

Name Of The Firm:-M/s Allied Engineering Consultants (Pvt) Ltd Personnel Evaluation Sheet

Position /Area of Expertise Structure Design Engineer **Quantity Surveyor** Material Engineer Assistant Resident Engineer Resident Engineer Consultants (Show All Experts to be Evaluated) Max Weight 2.5 20 20 0 20% 20% %Rating J.S.F 7.47 General Qualification 3.875 0.525 2:0 0.6 Rating (A) つい Weighted 74. Similar Project/Relevant 30% 747 24% 38% 48% %Rating Experience 60% 74.0 Rating (B) Weighted 0.6 S S 1.2 0.6 1.8.5 64 %Rating 7-1 4% S 0% Status With the Firm10% Weighted Rating (C) 41.0 0.25 17:17 0.5 0 0 82% **58%** Sox. 60.5% 18h (Sum of Weighted 60% **Overall Rating** Ratings) A+B+C 2.05 1.2 6.05

Rating: Excellent - 100% Very Good - 90%

Score: Maximum Weight X Rating / 100

M.Sc -90% B.Sc(With additional courses relevant to the assignment) -80%

Part time with previous association -50%

Part Time only for this assignment - 0%

B.Sc -70%

Full Time -100%

Above Average - 80%

Average - 70%

Below Average - 50%

Non- Compliant - 00%

Diploma - 60%

Walson Meles

10

(ADP NO 509 OF 2020-21)

THE EVALUATION OF FULL TECHNICAL PROPOSAL SHALL BE BASED ON FOLLOWING CRITERIA

S:No	Description/Item Po	Points
	Experience & Past Performance of the Firm	7.5
	Financial Capability Proposed Methodology (Delivery time of required documents including	7.5
	Drawings, Bill of Quantities and Tender Documents, etc)	
		30
	Understanding of the Assignment (Execution Plan I,e after tendre	25
	Specialization(Specialized Skills and Access to Particular Technology	20
	Quality Management	. 01
	Total Points	100
	The minimum qualifying technical score.	Ç

The date, time and address of the financial proposal opening are..................

The weights given to the Technical and Financial proposals are:

Technical Proposal: 80%

Financial Proposal: 20%

The points earmarked for evaluation sub criteria abovefor suitability of key staff are:

S.N.S	Decomination Items	ď	Doints	
ONTO	Describuon/riem	4	OHIES	
:	Academic and General Qualification		30%	
ij.	Professional experience related to the Project:		%09	
iii.	Status with the firm:		10%	
		Total Points	100%	

The evaluation of full technical proposal shall be based on following criteria:

Experience & Past Performance 7.5 Rating Score	1			Œ	Firm 1	FIL	Firm 2	L	rirm 3	FILLII 4	*	FILMS	2	
Rating Score Rati		Evaluation Criteria	Max Weight											Remarks
Financial Capability 7.5				Rating	Score	Rating	Score	Rating	Score	Rating	Score	Rating	Score	
lity 7.5 lology 30 Assignment 25 Assignment 20 cetalized skills and Access to particular 20 Engineer 2.5 ingineer 2.5 accent 2.5 ingineer 2.5 rent 10 rent 10	1	Experience & Past Performance	7.5											
Proposed Methodology	100	Financial Capability	2.5											
Understanding of Assignment 25 Specialization (Specialized skills and Access to particular Technology) 20 Resident Engineer 10 Assistant Resident Engineer 2.5 Structure Design Engineer 2.5 Material Engineer 2.5 Quantity Surveyor 2.5 Quantity Management 10 Total 10	3	Proposed Methodology	30											
Decialized skills and Access to particular 20 10<		Understanding of Assignment	25											
Engineer Angineer Angineer Login		Specialization (Specialized skills and Access to par Technology)												
Engineer 2.5 ingineer 2.5 ent 2.5 rent 10 Total 100		Resident Engineer	01											
ingincer 2.5 2.5		Assistant Resident Engineer	2.5						,					
rent Total 100		Structure Design Engineer	2.5											
lent		Material Engineer	2.5			,								
Total		Quantity Surveyor	2.5											
		Quality Management	10											

Rating: Excellent - 100% Very Good - 90% Score : Maximum Weight X Rating / 100 Minimum qualifying marks is 70% or 70 Marks

NAME OF SCHEME: "ESTABLISHMENT SUFISM AND MODERN SCIENCE UNIVERSITY BHIT SHAH"

(ADP NO 509 OF 2020-21)

Name Of The Firm:-

Personnel Evaluation Sheet

Docition / Area of Evnertice		General C	General Qualification	Similar Project/Relevant	ect/Relevant		Status With the	Overall Rating
	Max Weight	8	30%	Experie	Experience 60%		Firm10%	(Sum of Weighted Ratings)
(Show All Experts to be Evaluated)		%Rating	Weighted Rating (A)	%Rating	Weighted Rating (B)		%Rating Weighted Rating (C)	A+B+C
Consultants	20							
Resident Engineer	01 0							
Assistant Resident Engineer	2.5							
Structure Design Engineer	2.5					The Control		
Material Engineer	2.5						,	
Quantity Surveyor	2.5							
Total	20							

Very Good - 90% Rating: Excellent - 100%

Above Average - 80% Score: Maximum Weight X Rating / 100

PhD-100% M.Sc -90% B.Sc(With additional courses relevant to the assignment) -80%

Full Time -100% Part time with previous association -50%

Diploma - 60%

B.Sc -70%

Part Time only for this assignment - 0%

Non- Compliant - 00%

Below Average - 50%

Average - 70%